

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,068	08/22/2003	Louis C. Argenta	0101 P02977US1	9699
110 DANN DORF	7590 01/17/2008 MAN, HERRELL & SKILL	MAN	EXAMINER	
1601 MARKE	-	WAN	PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
SUITE 2400 PHILADELPH	IIA, PA 19103-2307		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·			me
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•.	10/647,068	ARGENTA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pedro Philogene	3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addres	s
Period for Reply	I V IC CET TO EVDIDE 21	MONTU(S) OD TUIDTV (30) D	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. The reply be timely filed DINTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	October 2007.		
,	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 12-66</u> is/are pending in the	application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		,	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 12-66</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		*	·
••		•	•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		n by the Examiner	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
		·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		0.4404.3.41340	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	nto have been received		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			ae .
application from the International Bure			3-
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		ot received.	
222 222 2222222 2 222222 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	·		
		•	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	•
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	5) Notice o 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number:

10/647,068 Art Unit: 3733

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-8,12-66 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/227,161. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is clear that all the elements of claims 1-13 of the '161 application, are to be found in claims 1-13 of '068 application. The difference between these two sets of claims lies in the fact that the claims of the '068 application includes many more elements and are thus much more specific. Thus the invention of claims 1-13 of the '161 application is in effect a "species of the "generic" invention of claims 1-13 of the '068 application. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". See in re Goodman, 29 USPQ 2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Since, claims 1-13 of the '068 application are anticipated by claims 1-13 of the '161 application, they are not patentably distinct from claims 1-13 of the '161 application.

10/647,068 Art Unit: 3733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 12-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Argenta et al. (5,636,643) in view of Lytinas (6,491,693).

With respect to the above claims, it is noted that Argenta et al., disclose all the limitations, except for bone tissue; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Lytinas et al evidence the use of a system wherein reduced or negative pressure is applied to bone tissue to stimulate bone growth in bone tissue.

Therefore, given the teaching of Lytinas, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Argenta et al, as taught by Lytinas et al., to stimulate bone growth in bone tissue.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/07 have been fully considered but they are notpersuasive. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Applicant's arguments were only against the reference to Restle. Since applicant did not discuss the Argenta's reference and since Argenta discloses all the

Application/Control Number:

10/647,068 Art Unit: 3733

limitations, except for bone tissue, and the reference to Lytinas discloses applying negative pressure to bone fracture to grow new bone, the combination of Argenta and Lytinas is obvious. As to the double patenting rejection the applicant' arguments are persuasive, therefore, the rejection is withdrawn. Since an action was inadvertently sent out during the period when prosecution was suspended, this action is made non final.

Furthermore, the terminal disclaimer for application number 10/227,161, was not approved because the filing date for application number 10/227,161 in the terminal disclaimed submitted on 10/15/07 is incorrect.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/647,068 Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pedro Philogene January 16, 2008

....ER

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :11/15/07,11/13/07,11/9/07,1026/07,10/19/07,7/5/07,6/25/07,6/21/07,5/8/07,5/7/07,5/4/07,5/3/07,5/2/07,5/1/07,4/30/074/27/07,4/23/07,4/19/07,4/18/07,4/16/07,4/15/07,4/13/07.